

## REFERENCES

1. Neumark D. The Workers' Compensation Crisis in California: A Primer. San Francisco, CA: Public Policy Institute of California; 2005.
2. Rudolph L, Dervin K, Cheadle A, Maizlish N, Wickizer T. What do injured workers think about their medical care and outcomes after work injury? *J Occup Environ Med* 2002; 44(5):425-34.
3. 2004 Pennsylvania Medical Access Study Executive Overview. (Accessed at [http://www.dli.state.pa.us/landi/lib/landi/bwc/publications/2004\\_execoverview.pdf](http://www.dli.state.pa.us/landi/lib/landi/bwc/publications/2004_execoverview.pdf)).
4. Victor RA, Barth P, Liu T. Outcomes for Injured Workers in California, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, and Texas. Cambridge, MA: Workers' Compensation Research Institute; December 2003.
5. Wickizer TM, Franklin G, Fulton-Kehoe D, Turner JA, Mootz R, Smith-Weller T. Patient satisfaction, treatment experience, and disability outcomes in a population-based cohort of injured workers in Washington State: implications for quality improvement. *Health Serv Res* 2004; 39(4 Pt 1):727-48.
6. Workers Compensation Research Institute. Benchmarks for Designing Workers' Compensation Medical Fee Schedules: 2006. Cambridge, MA: November 2006.
7. Wynn BO. Adopting medicare fee schedules: considerations for the California workers' compensation program. Santa Monica, CA: RAND; 2003. ([http://www.rand.org/pubs/monograph\\_reports/MR1776/MR1776.pdf](http://www.rand.org/pubs/monograph_reports/MR1776/MR1776.pdf)).
8. Kominski GF, Pourat N, Black JT. The Use of Relative Value Scales for Provider Reimbursement in State Workers' Compensation Programs. Los Angeles, CA: Prepared by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research for Industrial Medical Council, California Department of Industrial Relations; 1999. ([http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/pubs/files/IMC\\_Study\\_FinalReport\\_081999.pdf](http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/pubs/files/IMC_Study_FinalReport_081999.pdf)).
9. Kominski GF, Gardner LB. Inpatient Hospital Fee Schedule and Outpatient Surgery Study: Prepared for the Commission on Health and Safety and Workers' Compensation; 2001. (<http://www.dir.ca.gov/chswc/HospitalFeeSchedule2002/HFSFinalReport.PDF>).
10. The Lewin Group. Draft Report: California Workers' Compensation RBRVS Study: prepared for Industrial Medical Council, California Department of Industrial Relations; 2002 October 8. (<http://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/CaliforniaWorkers%27CompensationRBRVSSStudy2002.pdf>).

11. California Bureau of State Audits. California's Workers' Compensation Program: The Medical Payment System Does Not Adequately Control the Costs to Employers to Treat Injured Workers or Allow for Adequate Monitoring of System Costs and Patient Care; 2003 August. Report No.: 2003-108.1. (<http://www.bsa.ca.gov/pdfs/reports/2003-108.1.pdf>).
12. American Medical Association. Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment. 5th ed. Chicago: American Medical Association; 2000.
13. Cal Facts: California's Economy and Budget in Perspective. 2006 (Accessed at [http://www.lao.ca.gov/2006/cal\\_facts/cal\\_facts\\_2006.pdf](http://www.lao.ca.gov/2006/cal_facts/cal_facts_2006.pdf)).
14. California Commission on Health and Safety and Workers' Compensation. Selected Indicators in Workers' Compensation: A Report Care for Californians. Sacramento, CA: State of California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, Department of Industrial Relations; 2006. ([http://www.dir.ca.gov/CHSWC/Reports/WC\\_ReportCard\\_Dec2006.pdf](http://www.dir.ca.gov/CHSWC/Reports/WC_ReportCard_Dec2006.pdf)).
15. National Health Expenditures Data, Health expenditures by state of provider: Summary Tables, 1980-2004. 2006 (Accessed at <http://www.cms.hhs.gov/NationalHealthExpendData/downloads/nhestatesummary2004.pdf>).
16. California Health Interview Survey. UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, 2003 (Accessed at <http://www.askchis.com>).
17. Swedlow A. California Workers' Compensation Medical Care Reform & Access to Medical Care. Oakland, CA: California Workers' Compensation Institute; 2006.
18. Press Release: CWCI Completes 6-Part Research Series: Early Returns On California Workers' Comp Medical Reforms. California Workers' Compensation Institute, 2006 (Accessed at [http://www.cwci.org/NEWSROOM/News\\_Detail.cfm?ReleaseID=151](http://www.cwci.org/NEWSROOM/News_Detail.cfm?ReleaseID=151)).
19. Verbeten N, Navarro F, Thompson J. Hostile to Physicians, Harmful to Patients. The Workers' Compensation...Reform? A Survey of Physicians by the California Medical Association. Sacramento, CA: California Medical Association Center for Economic Services; 2005. ([http://www.cmanet.org/upload/cma\\_workers\\_comp\\_report\\_102705.pdf](http://www.cmanet.org/upload/cma_workers_comp_report_102705.pdf)).
20. California Orthopaedic Association. A Report on Injured Workers' Access to Orthopaedic Surgeons and other Physician Specialists in California; 2006. (<http://www.coassn.org/access%20survey.pdf>).
21. Dembe AE, Fox SE, Himmelstein JS. The RWJF Workers' Compensation Health Initiative: Findings and Strategies. Health Aff (Millwood) 2002; 21(1):251-5.
22. *Performance Measurement: Quality Dimensions and Indicators*, funded by the Workers' Compensation Health Initiative of the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. 2000 (Accessed August 15, 2006, at <http://www.umassmed.edu/uploadedfiles/RhodeIslandFinalReport.pdf>).

23. Institute of Medicine (U.S.). Committee on Quality of Health Care in America. Crossing the quality chasm: a new health system for the 21st century. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press; 2001.
24. The Planning and Development for a California Work Injury Resource Center for the Improvement of Workers' Compensation Medical Care. 2001 (Accessed August, 8, 2006, at <http://www.umassmed.edu/uploadedfiles/InjuredWorker3.pdf>).
25. McGlynn EA, Asch SM, Adams J, et al. The quality of health care delivered to adults in the United States. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 348(26):2635-45.
26. United States. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. 2005 National healthcare quality report. Rockville, MD: U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2005. Report No.: 06-0018.
27. Anderson GF, Poullier JP. Health spending, access, and outcomes: trends in industrialized countries. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 1999; 18(3):178-92.
28. Banks J, Marmot M, Oldfield Z, Smith JP. Disease and disadvantage in the United States and in England. *JAMA* 2006; 295(17):2037-45.
29. Reinhardt UE, Hussey PS, Anderson GF. U.S. health care spending in an international context. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2004; 23(3):10-25.
30. Schuster MA, McGlynn EA, Brook RH. How good is the quality of health care in the United States? *Milbank Q* 1998; 76(4):517-63, 09.
31. Starfield B. Is US health really the best in the world? *JAMA* 2000; 284(4):483-5.
32. Bristol N. US lags in health care despite largest investment, survey shows. *BMJ* 2006; 332(7546):869.
33. Fox SE, Victor, R.A., and Liu, T. Comparing Outcomes for Injured Workers in Seven Large States. Cambridge, MA: Workers' Compensation Research Institute (WCRI); 2006. Report No.: WC-06-01.
34. Wennberg JE, Fisher ES, Skinner JS. Geography and the debate over Medicare reform. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2002; Suppl Web Exclusives:W96-114.
35. Fisher ES, Wennberg DE, Stukel TA, Gottlieb DJ. Variations in the longitudinal efficiency of academic medical centers. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2004; Suppl Web Exclusive:VAR19-32.
36. Wennberg JE. Practice variations and health care reform: connecting the dots. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2004; Suppl Web Exclusive:VAR140-4.

37. Melgard MA. Preliminary findings of the Medical Outcomes Study Project -- Phase I. Salem, OR: Oregon Workers' Compensation Division, Department of Consumer and Business Services; 1998.
38. Guidotti TL. The big bang? An eventful year in workers' compensation. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2006; 27:153-66.
39. Timmermans S, Mauck A. The promises and pitfalls of evidence-based medicine. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2005; 24(1):18-28.
40. Stanton MS. Implantable cardioverter defibrillators: an excellent case study. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2005; 24(1):52-4.
41. Ramsey SD, Sullivan SD. Evidence, economics, and emphysema: Medicare's long journey with lung volume reduction surgery. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2005; 24(1):55-66.
42. PROLOGUE: Evidence-Based Medicine Case Studies. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2005; 24(1):41.
43. Helm II S. California Workers' Compensation System: Are Occupational Medicine Practice Guidelines Sufficient for the Treatment of Chronic Spinal Pain or Do They Require Supplementation by Guidelines for Interventional Techniques? *Pain Physician* 2004; 7(2):229-38.
44. Nuckols TK, Rand Corporation. Evaluating medical treatment guideline sets for injured workers in California. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation; 2005. ([http://www.rand.org/pubs/monographs/2005/RAND\\_MG400.pdf](http://www.rand.org/pubs/monographs/2005/RAND_MG400.pdf)).
45. Garber AM. Evidence-based guidelines as a foundation for performance incentives. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2005; 24(1):174-9.
46. Davis DA, Taylor-Vaisey A. Translating guidelines into practice: A systematic review of theoretic concepts, practical experience and research evidence in the adoption of clinical practice guidelines. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 1997; 157(4):408-16.
47. Restuccia JD, Gertman P. A comparative analysis of appropriateness of hospital use. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 1984; 3(2):130-8.
48. Payne SM. Identifying and managing inappropriate hospital utilization: a policy synthesis. *Health Serv Res* 1987; 22(5):709-69.
49. Rudolph L, Linford-Steinfeld J, Dervin K. Utilization Review in California's Workers' Compensation System: A Preliminary Assessment: Division of Workers' Compensation; 2001. Report No.: 2001-3. ([www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/URreport.pdf](http://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/URreport.pdf)).

50. Wickizer TM, Franklin G, Gluck JV, Fulton-Kehoe D. Improving quality through identifying inappropriate care: the use of guideline-based utilization review protocols in the Washington State Workers' Compensation System. *J Occup Environ Med* 2004; 46(3):198-204.
51. Wickizer TM, Lessler D, Franklin G. Controlling workers' compensation medical care use and costs through utilization management. *J Occup Environ Med* 1999; 41(8):625-31.
52. Wickizer TM, Wheeler JR, Feldstein PJ. Does utilization review reduce unnecessary hospital care and contain costs? *Med Care* 1989; 27(6):632-47.
53. Wickizer TM, Lessler D. Utilization management: issues, effects, and future prospects. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2002; 23:233-54.
54. Wickizer TM, Lessler D. Do treatment restrictions imposed by utilization management increase the likelihood of readmission for psychiatric patients? *Med Care* 1998; 36(6):844-50.
55. Dembe AE, Sum J, Baker C. Workers' Compensation Medical Care in California: Costs (Fact Sheet #2). San Francisco, CA; 2003 August.
56. Bailit HL, Sennett C. Utilization management as a cost-containment strategy. *Health Care Financ Rev Annu Suppl* 1991:87-93.
57. Elam K, Taylor V, Ciol MA, Franklin GM, Deyo RA. Impact of a worker's compensation practice guideline on lumbar spine fusion in Washington State. *Med Care* 1997; 35(5):417-24.
58. Bickmore Risk Services. A Study of the Effects of Legislative Reforms on California Workers' Compensation Insurance Rates. San Francisco, CA: California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Workers' Compensation; 2006.
59. Rosenfeld K, Luft HS, Garnick DW, McPhee SJ. Changes in patient characteristics and surgical outcomes for coronary artery bypass surgery 1972-82. *Am J Public Health* 1987; 77(4):498-500.
60. Hughes RG, Hunt SS, Luft HS. Effects of surgeon volume and hospital volume on quality of care in hospitals. *Med Care* 1987; 25(6):489-503.
61. Swedlow A, Gardner LB. Provider Experience and Volume-Based Outcomes in California Workers' Compensation -- Does "Practice Make Perfect?" Oakland, CA: California Workers' Compensation Institute (CWCI); 2003.
62. Sum J. Navigating the California Workers' Compensation System: The Injured Workers' Experience -- An Evaluation of Services to Inform and Assist Injured Workers in California. San Francisco, CA: Commission on Health and Safety and Workers' Compensation; 1996.

63. Ware JE, Jr., Davies AR. Behavioral consequences of consumer dissatisfaction with medical care. *Eval Program Plann* 1983; 6(3-4):291-7.
64. Keith RA. Patient satisfaction and rehabilitation services. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1998; 79(9):1122-8.
65. Kaplan SH, Greenfield S, Ware JE, Jr. Assessing the effects of physician-patient interactions on the outcomes of chronic disease. *Med Care* 1989; 27(3 Suppl):S110-27.
66. Grumbach K, Osmond D, Vranizan K, Jaffe D, Bindman AB. Primary care physicians' experience of financial incentives in managed-care systems. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 339(21):1516-21.
67. Damberg C, Grazier K, Greenfield S, et al. *Advancing Physician Performance Measurement: Using Administrative Data to Assess Physician Quality and Efficiency*. San Francisco: Pacific Business Group on Health; 2005.
68. Stewart D. *Aligning Physician Incentives: Lessons and Perspectives from California*. San Francisco, CA: Pacific Business Group on Health; 2005.
69. *The Practice of Medicine in California: A Profile of the Physician Workforce*. 2001 (Accessed September 10, 2006, at [http://www.futurehealth.ucsf.edu/pdf\\_files/PHASS.pdf](http://www.futurehealth.ucsf.edu/pdf_files/PHASS.pdf)).
70. Miller RH, Luft HS. HMO plan performance update: an analysis of the literature, 1997-2001. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2002; 21(4):63-86.
71. Chaix-Couturier C, Durand-Zaleski I, Jolly D, Durieux P. Effects of financial incentives on medical practice: results from a systematic review of the literature and methodological issues. *Int J Qual Health Care* 2000; 12(2):133-42.
72. Wiley J, Garrett K, Chang Y-T, Rudolph L, Dervin K, Maizlish N. *What do Injured Workers Think of Their Medical Care? A report on the development of a survey*. Oakland, CA: California Division of Workers' Compensation, Department of Industrial Relations; 1998.
73. Kyes KB, Wickizer TM, Franklin G, et al. Evaluation of the Washington State Workers' Compensation Managed Care Pilot Project I: medical outcomes and patient satisfaction. *Med Care* 1999; 37(10):972-81.
74. Weissman JS, Stern R, Fielding SL, Epstein AM. Delayed access to health care: risk factors, reasons, and consequences. *Ann Intern Med* 1991; 114(4):325-31.
75. Docteur ER, Colby DC, Gold M. Shifting the paradigm: monitoring access in Medicare managed care. *Health Care Financ Rev* 1996; 17(4):5-21.
76. *The Impact of Provider Choice on Workers' Compensation Costs and Outcomes*. Cambridge, MA: Workers' Compensation Research Institute (WCRI); 2005. Report No.: WC-05-14.

77. Davis K, Collins KS, Schoen C, Morris C. Choice matters: enrollees' views of their health plans. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 1995; 14(2):99-112.
78. Ward MM, Leigh JP, Fries JF. Progression of functional disability in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Associations with rheumatology subspecialty care. *Arch Intern Med* 1993; 153(19):2229-37.
79. Mark DB, Naylor CD, Hlatky MA, et al. Use of medical resources and quality of life after acute myocardial infarction in Canada and the United States. *N Engl J Med* 1994; 331(17):1130-5.
80. Kassirer JP. Access to specialty care. *N Engl J Med* 1994; 331(17):1151-3.
81. Suter LG, Fraenkel L, Holmboe ES. What factors account for referral delays for patients with suspected rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis and Rheumatism* 2006; 55(2):300-5.
82. Freburger JK, Carey TS, Holmes GM. Physician referrals to physical therapists for treatment of spine disorders. *The Spine Journal* 2005; 5(5):530-41.
83. United States. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. 2005 National Healthcare Disparities Report. Rockville, MD: U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2005. Report No.: 06-0017.
84. Morales LS, Ortega AN. Disparities in Health Care. In: Andersen RM, Rice TH, Kominski GF, eds. *Changing the US Health Care System: Key issues in health services policy and management*. 3rd ed. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass; 2007.
85. Lasser KE, Himmelstein DU, Woolhandler S. Access to care, health status, and health disparities in the United States and Canada: results of a cross-national population-based survey. *Am J Public Health* 2006; 96(7):1300-7.
86. Lashuay N, Burgel BJ, Harrison R, et al. "We Spend Our Days Working In Pain": A Report on Workplace Injuries in the Garment Industry. Oakland, CA: Asian Immigrant Women Workers Clinic; 2002.
87. Scherzer T, Rugulies R, Krause N. Work-related pain and injury and barriers to workers' compensation among Las Vegas hotel room cleaners. *Am J Public Health* 2005; 95(3):483-8.
88. Lashuay N, Harrison R. Barriers to Occupational Health Services for Low-Wage Workers in California. Oakland, CA: Commission on Health and Safety and Workers' Compensation (CHSWC); 2006.
89. Llewellyn M, Dawson D. Final Report: 2006 Injured Worker Satisfaction Study. Albuquerque, NM: Economic Research Bureau, State of New Mexico Workers' Compensation Administration; 2006.

90. Rittenhouse DR, Mertz E, Keane D, Grumbach K. No exit: an evaluation of measures of physician attrition. *Health Serv Res* 2004; 39(5):1571-88.
91. LaDou J. The rise and fall of occupational medicine in the United States. *Am J Prev Med* 2002; 22(4):285-95.
92. Guidotti TL, Barbanel C, Auerbach K. Occupation medicine: the case for reform. *Am J Prev Med* 2006; 30(2):186.
93. Cunningham PJ, Nichols LM. The effects of medicaid reimbursement on the access to care of medicaid enrollees: a community perspective. *Med Care Res Rev* 2005; 62(6):676-96.
94. Cohen JW, Cunningham PJ. Medicaid physician fee levels and children's access to care. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 1995; 14(1):255-62.
95. Coburn AF, Long SH, Marquis MS. Effects of changing Medicaid fees on physician participation and enrollee access. *Inquiry* 1999; 36(3):265-79.
96. Government Accountability Office. Medicare Physician Services: Use of Services Increasing Nationwide and Relatively Few Beneficiaries Report Major Access Problems. Washington, DC:; 2006 July 21,. Report No.: GAO-06-704.
97. Mitchell JB, Cromwell J. Impact of Medicare payment reductions on access to surgical services. *Health Serv Res* 1995; 30(5):637-55.
98. Cherry DK, Burt CW, Woodwell DA. National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 1999 Summary. *Advance Data from Vital and Health Statistics* 2001; (322).
99. Mooney C, Zwanziger J, Phibbs CS, Schmitt S. Is travel distance a barrier to veterans' use of VA hospitals for medical surgical care? *Soc Sci Med* 2000; 50(12):1743-55.
100. Burgess JF, Jr., DeFiore DA. The effect of distance to VA facilities on the choice and level of utilization of VA outpatient services. *Soc Sci Med* 1994; 39(1):95-104.
101. Krause N, Dasinger LK, Neuhauser F. Modified Work and Return to Work: A Review of the Literature. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation* 1998; 8(2):113-39.
102. Markiewicz B, Roberts K. The Worker Injury National Survey Project (WINS): Exploring the Feasibility of Building a National Information Resource. In. Portland, ME: WINS Project Collaborative at IAIABC Conference; October 9, 2001. (<http://www.umassmed.edu/workerscomp/presentations/briefings/iaiabc/WINS.ppt>).
103. Greenberg L. Measuring Quality in Workers' Compensation Managed Care Organizations: Technical Manual of Performance Measures. Washington, D.C.: Utilization Review Accreditation Commission (URAC); 2001.

104. Fox S, Borba P, Liu T-C. Return-to-Work Outcomes of Injured Workers: Evidence from California, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, and Texas. Cambridge, MA: Workers' Compensation Research Institute (WCRI); 2005. Report No.: WC-05-15.
105. Martin P. The Medical Fee Schedule Under the Workers' Compensation Law. Honolulu, HI: State Capitol Legislative Reference Bureau; 1998. Report No.: 8.
106. Bindman AB, Yoon J, Grumbach K, Streett L. Physician Participation in Medi-Cal, 2001. Oakland, CA: California HealthCare Foundation, Medi-Cal Policy Institute; 2003.
107. Burt C, Woodwell D. Tests of methods to improve response to physician surveys. In: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; Accessed on 11-29-2006:  
[http://www.fcs.m.gov/05papers/Burt\\_Woodwell\\_VIIB.pdf](http://www.fcs.m.gov/05papers/Burt_Woodwell_VIIB.pdf).
108. Schoenman JA, Berk ML, Feldman JJ, Singer A. Impact of differential response rates on the quality of data collected in the CTS physician survey. *Eval Health Prof* 2003; 26(1):23-42.